arXiv:1407.5256v2 [math.RT] 23 Jul 2014

HIGHER REPRESENTATION THEORY AND QUANTUM AFFINE SCHUR-WEYL DUALITY

SEOK-JIN KANG

Abstract. In this article, we explain the main philosophy of 2-representation theory and quantum affine Schur-Weyl duality. The Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras play a central role in both themes.

Introduction

The *Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras*, introduced by Khovanov-Lauda [28, 29] and Rouquier [33, 34], are a family of $\mathbf{Z}$-graded algebras that provide a fundamental framework for *2-representation theory* and *quantum affine Schur-Weyl duality*.

Let $H_k(\zeta)$ be the finite Hecke algebra with ζ a primitive n-th root of unity and let $U_q(A^{(1)}_{n-1})$ be the quantum affine algebra of type $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$. In [30], Lascoux-Leclerc-Thibon discovered a recursive algorithm of computing Kashiwara's lower global basis(=Lusztig's canonical basis) ([26, 31]) and conjectured that the coefficient polynomials, when evaluated at $q = 1$, give the composition multiplicities of simple $H_k(\zeta)$-modules inside Specht modules.

In [2], Ariki came up with a proof of the Lascoux-Leclerc-Thibon conjecture using the idea of *categorification*. More precisely, let Λ be a dominant integral weight associated with the affine Cartan datum of type $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$ and let $H^{\Lambda}_k(\zeta)$ be the corresponding cyclotomic Hecke algebra. Let $\mathrm{proj}\,(H^{\Lambda}_k(\zeta))$ denote the category of finitely generated projective $H^{\Lambda}_k(\zeta)$-modules and let $K(\mathrm{proj}\,(H^{\Lambda}_k(\zeta)))$ be the Grothendieck group of $\mathrm{proj}\,(H^{\Lambda}_k(\zeta))$. Then Ariki proved

$$\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} K(\mathrm{proj}\,(H^{\Lambda}_k(\zeta)))_{\mathbf{C}} \cong V(\Lambda),$$

where $V(\Lambda)$ is the integrable highest weight module over $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$. Moreover, he showed that the isomorphism classes of projective indecomposable modules correspond to the lower global basis of $V(\Lambda)$ at $q = 1$, from which the Lascoux-Leclerc-Thibon conjecture follows.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B37, 16E99.

Key words and phrases. 2-representation theory, Schur-Weyl duality, Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra, quantum group.

This work was supported by NRF Grant #2013-035155 and NRF Grant #2013-055408.

The idea of categorification, which was originated from [7], can be explained as follows. In the classical representation theory, we study the properties of an algebra A that are reflected on various vector spaces V. That is, we investigate various algebra homomorphisms $\phi : A \to \mathrm{End}(V)$. We identify A with a category having a single object and its elements as morphisms. Similarly, we consider $\mathrm{End}(V)$ as a category with V as its object and linear operators on V as morphisms. Then the classical representation theory can be understood as the study of functors from a category to another, whence the *1-representation theory*.

We now *categorify* the classical representation theory. Let $A = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in Q} A_\alpha$ be a graded algebra and let $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in P} V_\lambda$ be a graded A-module, where Q and P are appropriate abelian groups. We construct 2-categories $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ whose objects are certain categories $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in Q$) and $\mathcal{B}_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in P$) such that

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha\in Q} K(\mathcal{A}_\alpha) \cong A, \qquad \bigoplus_{\lambda\in P} K(\mathcal{B}_\lambda) \cong V.$$

We now investigate the properties of 2-functors $R : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$. That is, by categorifying the classical representation theory, we obtain the *2-representation theory*, the study of 2-functors from a 2-category to another.

So far, one of the most interesting developments in 2-representation theory is the one via Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras. The Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras categorify the negative half of quantum groups associated with *all* symmetrizable Cartan datum [28, 29, 33, 34]. Moreover, the cyclotomic Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras give a categorification of *all* integrable highest weight modules [17]. Hence Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier's and Kang-Kashiwara's categorification theorems provide a vast generalization of Ariki's categorification theorem. (See also [39].)

When the Cartan datum is symmetric, as was conjectured by Khovanov-Lauda [29], Varagnolo-Vasserot proved that the isomorphism classes of simple modules (respectively, projective indecomposable modules) correspond to upper global basis(=dual canonical basis) (respectively, lower global basis) [37]. However, when the Cartan datum is not symmetric, the above statements do not hold in general. It is a very interesting problem to characterize the *perfect basis* and *dual perfect basis* that correspond to simple modules and projective indecomposable modules, respectively.

On the other hand, the Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras can be viewed as a huge generalization of affine Hecke algebras in the context of *Schur-Weyl duality*. The Schur-Weyl duality, established by Schur and others (see, for example, [35, 36]), reveals a deep connection between the representation theories of symmetric groups and general linear Lie algebras. Let $V = \mathbf{C}^n$ be the vector representation of the general linear Lie algebra gl_n and consider the k-fold tensor product of V. Then gl_n acts on $V^{\otimes k}$ by comultiplication and the symmetric group Σ_k acts on

$V^{\otimes k}$ (from the right) by place permutation. Clearly, these actions commute with each other. The Schur-Weyl duality states that there exists a surjective algebra homomorphism

$$\phi_k : \mathbf{C}\Sigma_k \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{gl_n}(V^{\otimes k}),$$

where $\mathrm{End}_{gl_n}(V^{\otimes k})$ denotes the centralizer algebra of $V^{\otimes k}$ under the gl_n-action. Moreover, ϕ_k is an isomorphism whenever $k \leq n$.

The Schur-Weyl duality can be rephrased as follows. There is a functor $\mathcal{F}$ from the category of finite dimensional Σ_k-modules to the category of finite dimensional polynomial representations of gl_n given by

$$M \longmapsto V^{\otimes k} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}\Sigma_k} M,$$

where M is a finite dimensional Σ_k-module. The functor $\mathcal{F}$ is called the *Schur-Weyl duality functor* and it defines an equivalence of categories whenever $k \leq n$.

In [15], Jimbo extended the Schur-Weyl duality to the quantum setting: Σ_k is replaced by the finite Hecke algebra H_k and gl_n is replaced by the quantum group $U_q(gl_n)$. Then he obtained the *quantum Schur-Weyl duality functor* from the category of finite dimensional H_k-modules to the category of finite dimensional polynomial representations of $U_q(gl_n)$, which also defines an equivalence of categories whenever $k \leq n$.

In [4, 5, 10], Chari-Pressley, Cherednik and Ginzburg-Reshetikhin-Vasserot constructed a *quantum affine Schur-Weyl duality functor* which relates the category of finite dimensional representations of affine Hecke algebra H_k^{aff} and the category of finite dimensional integrable $U_q'(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$-modules. The main ingredients of their constructions are (i) the fundamental representation $V(\varpi_1)$, (ii) the R-matrices on the tensor products of $V(\varpi_1)$ satisfying the Yang-Baxter equation, (iii) the intertwiners in H_k^{aff} satisfying the braid relations.

Using Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras, one can construct quantum affine Schur-Weyl duality functors in much more generality. In [18], Kang, Kashiwara and Kim constructed such a functor which relates the category of finite dimensional modules over symmetric Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquuier algebras and the category of finite dimensional integrable modules over *all* quantum affine algebras. Roughly speaking, the basic idea can be explained as follows. Using a family of *good* modules and R-matrices, we determine a quiver Γ and construct a symmetric Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra $R^{\Gamma}(\beta)$ ($\beta \in Q_+$). We then construct a $(U_q'(\mathfrak{g}), R^{\Gamma}(\beta))$-bimodule $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}$, a completed tensor power arising from good modules, and define the quantum affine Schur-Weyl duality functor $\mathcal{F}$ by

$$M \mapsto \widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta} \otimes_{R^{\Gamma}(\beta)} M,$$

where M is an $R^{\Gamma}(\beta)$-module.

Various choices of quantum affine algebras and good modules would give rise to various quantum affine Schur-Weyl duality functors. We believe that our general approach will generate a great deal of exciting developments in the forthcoming years.

Acknowledgements: The author is very grateful to Masaki Kashiwara, Myungho Kim and Se-jin Oh for many valuable discussions and suggestions on this paper.

1. Quantum groups

We begin with a brief recollection of representation theory of quantum groups.

Let I be a finite index set. An integral matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ is called a *symmetrizable Cartan matrix* if (i) $a_{ii} = 2$ for all $i \in I$, (ii) $a_{ij} \leq 0$ for $i \neq j$, (iii) $a_{ij} = 0$ if and only if $a_{ji} = 0$, (iv) there exists a diagonal matrix $D = \mathrm{diag}(d_i \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0} \mid i \in I)$ such that DA is symmetric.

A *Cartan datum* consists of :

(1) a symmetrizable Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$,
(2) a free abelian group P of finite rank, the *weight latice*,
(3) $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \in P \mid i \in I\}$, the set of *simple roots*,
(4) $P^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}(P, \mathbf{Z})$, the *dual weight lattice*,
(5) $\Pi^\vee = \{h_i \in P^\vee \mid i \in I\}$, the set of *simple coroots*

satisfying the following properties

(i) $\langle h_i, \alpha_j\rangle = a_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in I$,
(ii) Π is linearly independent,
(iii) for each $i \in I$, there exists an element $\Lambda_j \in P$ such that

$$\langle h_i, \Lambda_j\rangle = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{for all } i, j \in I.$$

The Λ_i's ($i \in I$) are called the *fundamental weights*.

We denote by

$$P^+ := \{\Lambda \in P \mid \langle h_i, \Lambda\rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}$$

the set of *dominant integral weights*. The free abelian group $Q := \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbf{Z}\alpha_i$ is called the *root lattice*. Set $Q_+ = \sum_{i\in I} \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i$. For $\beta = \sum k_i\alpha_i \in Q_+$, we define its *height* to be $|\beta| := \sum k_i$. .

Since A is symmetrizable, there exists a symmetric bilinear form $(\ ,\ )$ on $\mathfrak{h}^* := \mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} P^\vee$ satisfying

$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = d_i a_{ij}, \quad \langle h_i, \lambda\rangle = \frac{2(\alpha_i, \lambda)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} \quad \text{for all } \ \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*,\ i, j \in I.$$

Let q be an indeterminate and set $q_i = q^{d_i}$ $(i \in I)$. For $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define

$$[n]_i := \frac{q_i^n - q_i^{-n}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \qquad [n]_i! := \prod_{k=1}^{n} [k]_i.$$

We write $e_i^{(k)} := e_i^k / [k]_i!$, $f_i^{(k)} := f_i^k / [k]_i!$ $(k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0},\ i \in I)$ for the *divided powers*.

Definition 1.1. The *quantum group* $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ corresponding to a Cartan datum $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$ is the associative algebra over $\mathbf{Q}(q)$ generated by the elements e_i, f_i $(i \in I)$, q^h $(h \in P^\vee)$ with defining relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&q^0 = 1, \quad q^h q^{h'} = q^{h+h'} \ \ (h, h' \in P^\vee),\\
&q^h e_i q^{-h} = q^{\langle h, \alpha_i \rangle} e_i, \quad q^h f_i q^{-h} = q^{-\langle h, \alpha_i \rangle} f_i \ \ (h \in P^\vee,\ i \in I),\\
&e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \ \ (K_i = q^{d_i h_i},\ i \in I),\\
&\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k e_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} e_j e_i^{(k)} = 0 \quad (i \neq j),\\
&\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)} = 0 \quad (i \neq j).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Let $U_q^0(\mathfrak{g})$ be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by q^h $(h \in P^\vee)$ and let $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (respectively, $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$) be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by e_i (respectively, f_i) for all $i \in I$. Then the algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ has the *triangular decomposition*

$$U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q^0(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$. We define the *integral form* $U_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ to be the $\mathbf{A}$-subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $e_i^{(k)}$, $f_i^{(k)}$, q^h $(i \in I,\ h \in P^\vee,\ k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})$. Let $U_{\mathbf{A}}^0(\mathfrak{g})$ be the $\mathbf{A}$-subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by q^h $(h \in P^\vee)$ and let $U_{\mathbf{A}}^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (respectively, $U_{\mathbf{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$) be the $\mathbf{A}$-subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $e_i^{(k)}$ (respectively, $f_i^{(k)}$) $(i \in I,\ k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})$. Then we have

$$U_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U_{\mathbf{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_{\mathbf{A}}^0(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_{\mathbf{A}}^+(\mathfrak{g}).$$

A $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module V is called a *highest weight module with highest weight* $\Lambda \in P$ if there exists a nonzero vector v_Λ in V, called the *highest weight vector*, such that

(i) $e_i\, v_\Lambda = 0$ for all $i \in I$,
(ii) $q^h\, v_\Lambda = q^{\langle h, \Lambda \rangle} v_\Lambda$ for all $h \in P^\vee$,
(iii) $V = U_q(\mathfrak{g})\, v_\Lambda$.

For each $\Lambda \in P$, there exists a unique irreducible highest weight module $V(\Lambda)$ with highest weight Λ. The *integral form* of $V(\Lambda)$ is defined to be

$$V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda) := U_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathfrak{g})\, v_\Lambda,$$

where v_Λ is the highest weight vector.

Consider the anti-involution $\phi : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \to U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by

$$q^h \mapsto q^h, \qquad e_i \mapsto f_i, \qquad f_i \mapsto e_i \quad (h \in P^\vee,\ i \in I).$$

Then there exists a unique non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\ ,\ )$ on $V(\Lambda)$ satisfying

$$(v_\Lambda, v_\Lambda) = 1, \quad (x\,u, v) = (u, \phi(x)\,v) \quad \text{for all } x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}),\ u, v \in V(\Lambda). \tag{1.2}$$

The *dual* of $V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)$ is defined to be

$$V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)^\vee := \{v \in V(\Lambda) \mid (u, v) \in \mathbf{A} \ \text{ for all } u \in V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)\}.$$

Note that $V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)^\vee_\lambda = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)_\lambda, \mathbf{A})$ for all $\lambda \in P$.

The *Category* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}$ consists of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules M such that

(i) $M = \bigoplus_{\mu \in P} M_\mu$, where $M_\mu := \{m \in M \mid q^h\, m = q^{\langle h, \mu\rangle}\, m \text{ for all } h \in P^\vee\}$,

(ii) $e_i, f_i\, (i \in I)$ are locally nilpotent on M,

(iii) there exist finitely many elements $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \in P$ such that

$$\mathrm{wt}(M) := \{\mu \in P \mid M_\mu \neq 0\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{s} (\lambda_j - Q_+).$$

The following properties of the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}$ are well-known. (See, for example, [11, 16, 32].)

Proposition 1.2.

(a) The category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}$ is semisimple.

(b) The $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module $V(\Lambda)$ with $\Lambda \in P^+$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}$.

(c) Every simple object in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}$ has the form $V(\Lambda)$ for some $\Lambda \in P^+$.

2. Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a field and let $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$ be a Cartan datum.

For each $i \neq j$, set

$$S_{ij} := \{(p, q) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)p + (\alpha_j, \alpha_j)q = -2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)\}.$$

Define a family of polynomials $\mathsf{Q} = (\mathsf{Q}_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ in $\mathbf{k}[u, v]$ by

$$\mathsf{Q}_{ij}(u, v) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j, \\ \sum_{(p,q) \in S_{ij}} t_{i,j;p,q} u^p v^q & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

for some $t_{i,j;p,q} \in \mathbf{k}$ such that $t_{i,j;p,q} = t_{j,i;q,p}$ and $t_{i,j;-a_{ij},0} \in \mathbf{k}^\times$. In particular,

$$\mathsf{Q}_{ii}(u,v) = 0, \quad \mathsf{Q}_{ij}(u,v) = \mathsf{Q}_{ji}(v,u) \ \ (i \neq j).$$

The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n = \langle s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1} \rangle$ acts on I^n by place permutation, where s_i denotes the transposition $(i, i+1)$.

Definition 2.1. The *Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra* $R(n)$ of degree $n \geq 0$ associated with (A, Q) is the associative algebra over $\mathbf{k}$ generated by the elements $e(\nu)$ $(\nu \in I^n)$, x_k $(1 \leq k \leq n)$, τ_l $(1 \leq l \leq n-1)$ with defining relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&e(\nu)e(\nu') = \delta_{\nu,\nu'} e(\nu), \quad \sum_{\nu \in I^n} e(\nu) = 1,\\
&x_k x_l = x_l x_k, \quad x_k e(\nu) = e(\nu) x_k,\\
&\tau_l e(\nu) = e(s_l(\nu))\tau_l, \quad \tau_k \tau_l = \tau_l \tau_k \ \text{ if } |k-l| > 1,\\
&\tau_k^2 e(\nu) = Q_{\nu_k,\nu_{k+1}}(x_k, x_{k+1}) e(\nu),\\
&(\tau_k x_l - x_{s_k(l)} \tau_k) e(\nu) = \begin{cases} -e(\nu) & \text{if } l = k,\ \nu_k = \nu_{k+1},\\ e(\nu) & \text{if } l = k+1,\ \nu_k = \nu_{k+1},\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}\\
&(\tau_{k+1}\tau_k\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k\tau_{k+1}\tau_k) e(\nu)\\
&\quad = \begin{cases} \dfrac{Q_{\nu_k,\nu_{k+1}}(x_k, x_{k+1}) - Q_{\nu_k,\nu_{k+1}}(x_{k+2}, x_{k+1})}{x_k - x_{k+2}} e(\nu) & \text{if } \nu_k = \nu_{k+2},\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

The algebra $R(n)$ has a $\mathbf{Z}$-grading by assigning the degrees as follows:

$$\deg e(\nu) = 0, \qquad \deg x_k e(\nu) = (\alpha_{\nu_k}, \alpha_{\nu_k}), \qquad \deg \tau_l e(\nu) = -(\alpha_{\nu_l}, \alpha_{\nu_{l+1}}).$$

We denote by q the *degree-shift functor* defined by

$$(qM)_k = M_{k-1},$$

where $M = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} M_k$ is a graded $R(n)$-module. Also there is an algebra involution $\psi : R(n) \to R(n)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&e(\nu) \mapsto e(\nu'), \qquad x_k \mapsto x_{n-k+1},\\
&\tau_l e(\nu) \mapsto \begin{cases} -\tau_{n-l}\, e(\nu') & \text{if } \nu_l = \nu_{l+1},\\ \tau_{n-l}\, e(\nu') & \text{if } \nu_l \neq \nu_{l+1}, \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$ and $\nu' = (\nu_n, \dots, \nu_2, \nu_1)$.

By the embedding $R(m) \otimes R(n) \hookrightarrow R(m+n)$, we may consider $R(m) \otimes R(n)$ as a subalgebra of $R(m+n)$. For an $R(m)$-module M and an $R(n)$-module N, we

define their *convolution product* $M \circ N$ by

$$M \circ N := R(m+n) \otimes_{R(m)\otimes R(n)} (M \otimes N). \tag{2.4}$$

Since $R(m+n)$ is free over $R(m) \otimes R(n)$ ([28, Proposition 2.16]), the bifunctor $(M, N) \mapsto M \circ N$ is exact in M and N.

For $n \geq 0$ and $\beta \in Q_+$ with $|\beta| = n$, set

$$I^\beta := \{\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \mid \alpha_{\nu_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{\nu_n} = \beta\}, \qquad e(\beta) := \sum_{\nu \in I^\beta} e(\nu).$$

Then $e(\beta)$ is a central idempotent in $R(n)$. We also define

$$e(\beta, \alpha_i) := \sum_{\substack{\nu \in I^{\beta+\alpha_i} \\ \nu_{n+1}=i}} e(\nu), \quad e(\alpha_i, \beta) := \sum_{\substack{\nu \in I^{\beta+\alpha_i} \\ \nu_1=i}} e(\nu).$$

The algebra

$$R(\beta) := R(n)e(\beta)$$

is called the *Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra at* β.

For a $\mathbf{k}$-agebra R, we denote by $\mathrm{mod}(R)$ (respectively, $\mathrm{proj}(R)$ and $\mathrm{rep}(R)$) the category of R-modules (respectively, the category of finitely generated projective R-modules and the category of finite dimensional R-modules).

If R is a graded $\mathbf{k}$-algebra, we will use $\mathrm{Mod}(R)$ (respectively, $\mathrm{Proj}(R)$ and $\mathrm{Rep}(R)$) for the category of graded R-modules (respectively, the category of finitely generated projective graded R-modules and the category of finite dimensional graded R-modules).

For each $i \in I$, define the functors

$$\begin{aligned} E_i &: \mathrm{Mod}\,(R(\beta+\alpha_i)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}\,(R(\beta)), \\ F_i &: \mathrm{Mod}\,(R(\beta)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}\,(R(\beta+\alpha_i)) \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} E_i(N) &= e(\beta, \alpha_i)\, R(\beta+\alpha_i) \otimes_{R(\beta+\alpha_i)} N, \\ F_i(M) &= R(\beta+\alpha_i)\, e(\beta, \alpha_i) \otimes_{R(\beta)} M \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

for $M \in \mathrm{Mod}\,(R(\beta))$, $N \in \mathrm{Mod}\,(R(\beta+\alpha_i))$.

By [28, Proposition 2.16], the functors E_i and F_i are exact and send finitely generated projective modules to finitely generated projective modules. Hence (2.5) restricts to the functors

$$\begin{aligned} E_i &: \mathrm{Proj}\,(R(\beta+\alpha_i)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Proj}\,(R(\beta)), \\ F_i &: \mathrm{Proj}\,(R(\beta)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Proj}\,(R(\beta+\alpha_i)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

For $1 \leq k < n$, set $b_k := \tau_k x_{k+1}$ and $b'_k := x_{k+1}\tau_k$. Let $w_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$ be the longest element in S_n and set

$$\mathbf{b}(n) := b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_r}, \quad \mathbf{b}'(n) := b'_{i_r} \cdots b'_{i_1}.$$

For each $n \geq 0$, we define the *divided powers* by

$$E_i^{(n)} := \mathbf{b}'(n)E_i^n, \qquad F_i^{(n)} := F_i^n \mathbf{b}(n).$$

In [28] and [33], Khovanov-Lauda and Rouquier proved the following *categorification theorem.*

Theorem 2.2. [28, 33]
There exists an $\mathbf{A}$-algebra isomorphism

$$U_{\mathbf{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} K(\mathrm{Proj}(R)) \ \text{ given by } \ f_i^{(n)} \longmapsto [F_i^{(n)}] \ \ (i \in I,\, n \geq 0),$$

where $K(\mathrm{Proj}(R)) := \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q_+} K(\mathrm{Proj}(R(\beta)))$.

Thus we have constructed a 2-category $\mathfrak{R}$ such that the objects are the categories $\mathrm{Proj}\,(R(\beta))$ $(\beta \in Q_+)$ and the categories $\mathcal{H}om\,(\mathrm{Proj}\,R(\alpha), \mathrm{Proj}\,R(\beta))$ consist of the monomials $F_{i_1} \cdots F_{i_r}$ $(i_k \in I,\, r \geq 0)$ of functors satisfying

$$\alpha_{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_r} = \begin{cases} \alpha - \beta & \text{if } \alpha \geq \beta, \\ \beta - \alpha & \text{if } \beta \geq \alpha. \end{cases}$$

The morphisms in $\mathcal{H}om\,(\mathrm{Proj}\,R(\alpha), \mathrm{Proj}\,R(\beta))$ are the natural transformations generated by $x_i : F_i \to F_i$, $\tau_{ij} : F_iF_j \to F_jF_i$ $(i, j \in I)$ satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_{ij} \circ \tau_{ji} = \mathsf{Q}_{ij}(F_j\, x_i, x_j F_i), \\
&\tau_{jk}F_i \circ F_j\, \tau_{ik} \circ \tau_{ij}F_k - F_k\, \tau_{ij} \circ \tau_{ik}F_j \circ F_i\, \tau_{jk} \\
&\qquad = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\mathsf{Q}_{ij}(x_iF_j, F_j\, x_i)F_i - F_i\mathsf{Q}_{ij}(F_j\, x_i, x_jF_i)}{x_iF_jF_i - F_iF_j\, x_i}F_i & \text{if } \ i = k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \\
&\tau_{ij} \circ x_iF_j - F_j\, x_i \circ \tau_{ij} = \delta_{ij}, \\
&\tau_{ij} \circ F_i\, x_j - x_jF_i \circ \tau_{ij} = -\delta_{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to verify that $\mathfrak{R}$ satisfies all the axioms for 2-categories [33, 34].

For the later use, we define a functor $\overline{F_i} : \mathrm{Mod}\,(R(\beta)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}\,(R(\beta + \alpha_i))$ by

$$\overline{F_i}(M) := R(\beta + \alpha_i)\, e(\alpha_i, \beta) \otimes_{R(\beta)} M \ \ \text{ for } i \in I,\, M \in \mathrm{Mod}\,(R(\beta)).$$

The properties of the functors E_i, F_i and $\overline{F_i}$ $(i \in I)$ are given in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. [17]

(a) We have an exact sequence in $\mathrm{Mod}\,(R(\beta))$

$$0 \longrightarrow \overline{F_i}\, E_i\, M \longrightarrow E_i \overline{F_i}\, M \longrightarrow q^{-(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)} M \otimes \mathbf{k}[t_i] \longrightarrow 0$$

which is functorial in $M \in \mathrm{Mod}\,(R(\beta))$.

(b) There exist natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} E_i F_j &\xrightarrow{\sim} F_j E_i, \quad E_i \overline{F_j} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{F_j} E_i \quad \text{if } i \neq j, \\ E_i F_i &\xrightarrow{\sim} q^{-(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)} F_i E_i \oplus \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{k}[t_i] \quad \text{if } i = j, \end{aligned}$$

where t_i is an indeterminate of degree (α_i, α_i) and

$$\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{k}[t_i] : \mathrm{Mod}\,(R(\beta)) \to \mathrm{Mod}\,(R(\beta))$$

is the degree-shift functor sending M to $M \otimes \mathbf{k}[t_i]$ for $M \in \mathrm{Mod}\,(R(\beta))$ $(\beta \in Q_+)$.

3. Cyclotomic categorification theorem

Let $\Lambda \in P^+$ and let

$$a^\Lambda(x_1) := \sum_{\nu \in I^\beta} x_1^{\langle h_{\nu_1}, \Lambda \rangle} e(\nu) \quad \in R(\beta).$$

Then the *cyclotomic Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra* $R^\Lambda(\beta)$ $(\beta \in Q_+)$ is defined to be the quotient algebra

$$R^\Lambda(\beta) := R(\beta) \big/ R(\beta) a^\Lambda(x_1) R(\beta). \tag{3.1}$$

We would like to show that the cyclotomic Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras provide a categorification of irreducible highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules in the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}$.

For each $i \in I$, define the functors

$$\begin{aligned} E_i^\Lambda &: \mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta + \alpha_i)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta)), \\ F_i^\Lambda &: \mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta + \alpha_i)) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} E_i^\Lambda(N) &= e(\beta, \alpha_i) R^\Lambda\,(\beta + \alpha_i) \otimes_{R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)} N, \\ F_i^\Lambda\,(M) &= R^\Lambda(\beta + \alpha_i)\, e(\beta, \alpha_i) \otimes_{R^\Lambda(\beta)} M \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for $M \in \mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$, $N \in \mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta + \alpha_i))$. However, since $R^\Lambda(\beta + \alpha_i)$ is not free over $R^\Lambda(\beta)$, there is no guarantee that E_i^Λ and F_i^Λ send finitely generated projective modules to finitely generated projective modules. To prove this, we need to show that $R^\Lambda(\beta + \alpha_i)\, e(\beta, \alpha_i)$ is a projective right $R^\Lambda(\beta)$-module.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} F^\Lambda &:= R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)\, e(\beta,\alpha_i) \\ &= \frac{R(\beta+\alpha_i)\, e(\beta,\alpha_i)}{R(\beta+\alpha_i)\, a^\Lambda(x_1) R(\beta+\alpha_i)\, e(\beta,\alpha_i)}, \\ K_0 &:= R(\beta+\alpha_i)\, e(\beta,\alpha_i) \otimes_{R(\beta)} R^\Lambda(\beta) \\ &= \frac{R(\beta+\alpha_i)\, e(\beta,\alpha_i)}{R(\beta+\alpha_i)\, a^\Lambda(x_1) R(\beta)\, e(\beta,\alpha_i)}, \\ K_1 &:= R(\beta+\alpha_i)\, e(\alpha_i,\beta) \otimes_{R(\beta)} R^\Lambda(\beta) \\ &= \frac{R(\beta+\alpha_i)\, e(\alpha_i,\beta)}{R(\beta+\alpha_i)\, a^\Lambda(x_2) R^1(\beta)\, e(\alpha_i,\beta)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $R^1(\beta)$ is the subalgebra of $R(\beta+\alpha_i)$ generated by $e(\alpha_i,\nu)$ $(\nu \in I^\beta)$, x_k $(2 \le k \le n+1)$, τ_l $(2 \le l \le n)$. Then F^Λ, K_0 and K_1 can be regarded as $(R(\beta+\alpha_i), R^\Lambda(\beta))$-bimodules.

Let t_i be an indeterminate of degree (α_i,α_i). Then $\mathbf{k}[t_i]$ acts on $R(\beta+\alpha_i)\, e(\alpha_i,\beta)$ and K_1 from the right by $t_i = x_1 e(\alpha_i,\beta)$. On the other hand, $\mathbf{k}[t_i]$ acts on K_0 and F^Λ from the right by $t_i = x_{n+1} e(\beta,\alpha_i)$. Hence all of them have a structure of $(R(\beta+\alpha_i), R(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i])$-bimodules. Moreover, F^Λ, K_0 and K_1 are in fact $(R(\beta+\alpha_i), R^\Lambda(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i])$-bimodules.

In [28], it was shown that K_0 and K_1 are finitely generated projective right $(R^\Lambda(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i])$-modules. Let $\pi : K_0 \to F^\Lambda$ be the canonical projection and let $P : K_1 \to K_0$ be the right multiplication by $a^\Lambda(x_1)\,\tau_1\cdots\tau_n$.

The following theorem is one of the main results in [17].

Theorem 3.1. [17]
The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_1 \xrightarrow{P} K_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} F^\Lambda \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.4}$$

is exact as $(R(\beta+\alpha_i, R^\Lambda(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i])$-bimodules.

Hence we get a projective resolution of F^Λ of length 1 as a right $R^\Lambda(\beta)[t_i]$-module. By the following lemma, we conclude that F^Λ is a finitely generated projective right $R^\Lambda(\beta)$-module.

Lemma 3.2. [17] Let R be a ring and let $f(t)$ be a monic polynomial in $R[t]$ with coefficients in the center of R.
If an $R[t]$-module M is annihilated by $f(t)$ and has projective dimension ≤ 1, then M is projective as an R-module.

Thus we obtain the following important theorem.

Theorem 3.3. [17]
(a) $R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)\,e(\beta,\alpha_i)$ is a projective right $R^\Lambda(\beta)$-module.
(b) $e(\beta,\alpha_i)R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)$ is a projective left R^Λ-module.
(c) The functors E_i^Λ and F_i^Λ are exact.
(d) The functors E_i^Λ and F_i^Λ send finitely generated projective modules to finitely generated projective modules.

Corollary 3.4. [17]
For all $i \in I$ and $\beta \in Q_+$, we have an exact sequence of $R(\beta+\alpha_i)$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow q^{(\alpha_i,2\Lambda-\beta)}\overline{F_i}\,M \longrightarrow F_i\,M \longrightarrow F_i^\Lambda\,M \longrightarrow 0$$

which is functorial in $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R^\Lambda(\beta)$.

To complete the construction of cyclotomic categorification, it remains to show that the adjoint pair $(F_i^\Lambda, E_i^\Lambda)$ gives an *sl_2-categorification* introduced by Chuang-Rouquier [6].

Theorem 3.5. [17]
(a) For $i \neq j$, there exists a natural isomorphism

$$q^{-(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)}F_j^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} E_i^\Lambda F_j^\Lambda.$$

(b) Let $\lambda = \Lambda - \beta$ ($\beta \in Q_+$).
(i) If $\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle \geq 0$, there exists a natural isomorphism

$$q_i^{-2}F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle-1} q_i^{2k}\mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda.$$

(ii) If $\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle \leq 0$, there exists a natural isomorphism

$$q_i^{-2}F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{-\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle-1} q_i^{2k-2}\mathbf{1}.$$

Proof. We will give a very rough sketch of the proof. The assertion (a) can be proved in a straightforward manner.

To prove (b), note that Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.4 yield the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & q_i^{-2}M & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \overset{\varepsilon}{\nearrow} & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & q^{(\alpha_i|2\Lambda-\beta)}\overline{F_i}E_iM & \longrightarrow & q_i^{-2}F_iE_iM & \longrightarrow & q_i^{-2}F_i^{\Lambda}E_i^{\Lambda}M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & q^{(\alpha_i|2\Lambda-\beta)}E_i\overline{F_i}M & \longrightarrow & E_iF_iM & \longrightarrow & E_i^{\Lambda}F_i^{\Lambda}M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & q^{(\alpha_i|2\Lambda-2\beta)}\mathbf{k}[t_i]\otimes M & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{k}[t_i]\otimes M & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & & &
\end{array}$$

Let $A : q^{2(\alpha_i,\Lambda-\beta)}\mathbf{k}[t_i]\otimes R^{\Lambda}(\beta) \longrightarrow \mathbf{k}[t_i]\otimes R^{\Lambda}(\beta)$ be the $R^{\Lambda}(\beta)$-bilinear map given by chasing the diagram. By a detailed analysis of the above commutative diagram at the kernel level, the Snake Lemma gives the following exact sequence of $R^{\Lambda}(\beta)$-bimodules

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} A \longrightarrow q_i^{-2}F_i^{\Lambda}E_i^{\Lambda}R^{\Lambda}(\beta) \longrightarrow E_i^{\Lambda}F_i^{\Lambda}R^{\Lambda}(\beta) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker} A \longrightarrow 0.$$

If $\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle \geq 0$, we have $\operatorname{Ker} A = 0$, $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{a-1}\mathbf{k}\,t_i^k\otimes R^{\Lambda}(\beta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Coker} A$, and if $\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle \leq 0$, then $\operatorname{Coker} A = 0$, $\operatorname{Ker}(A) = q^{2(\alpha_i|\Lambda-\beta)}\bigoplus_{k=0}^{a-1}\mathbf{k}\,t_i^k\otimes R^{\Lambda}(\beta)$, from which our assertion(b) follows. □

Set

$$K(\operatorname{Proj}(R^{\Lambda})) := \bigoplus_{\beta\in Q_+} K(\operatorname{Proj} R^{\Lambda}(\beta)),$$
$$K(\operatorname{Rep}(R^{\Lambda})) := \bigoplus_{\beta\in Q_+} K(\operatorname{Rep} R^{\Lambda}(\beta)).$$

We define the endomorphisms E_i and F_i on $K(\operatorname{Proj}(R^{\Lambda}))$ by

$$\mathsf{E}_i = [q_i^{1-\langle h_i,\Lambda-\beta\rangle}E_i^{\Lambda}] : K(\operatorname{Proj} R^{\Lambda}(\beta+\alpha_i)) \longrightarrow K(\operatorname{Proj} R^{\Lambda}(\beta)),$$
$$\mathsf{F}_i = [F_i^{\Lambda}] : K(\operatorname{Proj} R^{\Lambda}(\beta)) \longrightarrow K(\operatorname{Proj} R^{\Lambda}(\beta+\alpha_i)).$$

On the other hand, we define E_i and F_i on $K(\operatorname{Rep}(R^{\Lambda}))$ by

$$\mathsf{E}_i = [E_i^{\Lambda}] : K(\operatorname{Rep} R^{\Lambda}(\beta+\alpha_i)) \longrightarrow K(\operatorname{Rep} R^{\Lambda}(\beta)),$$
$$\mathsf{F}_i = [q_i^{1-\langle h_i,\Lambda-\beta\rangle}F_i^{\Lambda}] : K(\operatorname{Rep} R^{\Lambda}(\beta)) \longrightarrow K(\operatorname{Rep} R^{\Lambda}(\beta+\alpha_i)).$$

Let K_i be the endomorphism on $K(\operatorname{Proj} R^\Lambda(\beta))$ and $K(\operatorname{Rep} R^\Lambda(\beta))$ given by the multiplication by $q_i^{\langle h_i, \Lambda-\beta\rangle}$ for each $\beta \in Q_+$. Then we have

$$[\mathsf{E}_i, \mathsf{F}_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{\mathsf{K}_i - \mathsf{K}_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \quad \text{for } i, j \in I.$$

Therefore, we obtain the *cyclotomic categrification theorem* for irreducible highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules in the category $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}$.

Theorem 3.6. [17]

For each $\Lambda \in P^+$, there exist $U_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathfrak{g})$-module isomorphisms

$$K(\operatorname{Proj} R^\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad K(\operatorname{Rep} R^\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)^\vee.$$

Therefore, for each $\Lambda \in P^+$, we have constructed a 2-category $\mathfrak{R}^\Lambda$ consisting of $\operatorname{Proj}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ $(\beta \in Q_+)$, which gives an integrable 2-representation $\mathfrak{R}^\Lambda$ of $\mathfrak{R}$ in the sense of [33, 34]. (See also [39].)

Remark 3.7. There are several generalizations of Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras and categorification theorems. In [20, 23, 25], the Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras associated with Borcherds-Cartan data have been defined and their properties have been investigated including geometric realization, categorification and the connection with crystal bases. In [8, 9, 14, 21, 22, 24, 38], various versions of Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier *super*-algebras have been introduced and the corresponding *super*-categorifications have been constructed.

4. Quantum affine algebras and R-matrices

In this section, we briefly review the finite dimensional representation theory of quantum affine algebras and the properties of R-matrices (see, for example, [1, 3, 4, 27]).

Let $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$ be a Cartan datum of affine type with $I = \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ the index set of simple roots. Let $0 \in I$ be the leftmost vertex in the affine Dynkin diagrams given in [16, Chapter 4]. Set $I_0 = I \setminus \{0\}$. Take relatively prime positive integers c_j's and d_j's $(j \in I)$ such that

$$\sum_{j \in I} c_j a_{ji} = 0, \quad \sum_{j \in I} a_{ij} d_j = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \in I.$$

Then the weight lattice can be written as

$$P = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbf{Z}\Lambda_i \oplus \mathbf{Z}\delta,$$

where $\delta := \sum_{i \in I} d_i \alpha_i \in P$. We also define $c := \sum_{i \in I} c_i h_i \in P^\vee$.

We denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the affine Kac-Moody algebra associated with $(A, P, P^\vee, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ and let $\mathfrak{g}_0$ be the finite dimensional simple Lie algebra inside $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by e_i, f_i, h_i $(i \in I_0)$. We will write W and W_0 for the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0$, respectively.

Let $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the corresponding quantum group and let $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by e_i, f_i, $K_i^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in I)$. The algebra $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ will be called the *quantum affine algebra*.

Set $P_{\mathrm{cl}} := P\big/\mathbf{Z}\delta$ and let $\mathrm{cl} : P \to P_{\mathrm{cl}}$ be the canonical projection. Then we have

$$P_{\mathrm{cl}} = \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbf{Z}\mathrm{cl}(\Lambda_i) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\mathrm{cl}}^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(P_{\mathrm{cl}}, \mathbf{Z}) = \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbf{Z}h_i.$$

A $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module V is *integrable* if

(i) $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in P_{\mathrm{cl}}} V_\lambda$, where $V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid K_i v = q_i^{\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle} v \text{ for all } i \in I\}$,

(ii) e_i, f_i $(i \in I)$ are locally nilpotent on V.

We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{int}}$ the category of finite dimensional integrable $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules.

Let M be an integrable $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module. A weight vector $v \in M_\lambda$ $(\lambda \in P_{\mathrm{cl}})$ is called an *extremal weight vector* if there exists a family of nonzero vectors $\{v_{w\lambda} \mid w \in W\}$ such that

$$v_{s_i\lambda} = \begin{cases} f_i^{(\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle)} v_\lambda & \text{if } \langle h_i,\lambda\rangle \geq 0, \\ e_i^{(-\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle)} v_\lambda & \text{if } \langle h_i,\lambda\rangle \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $P_{\mathrm{cl}}^0 := \{\lambda \in P_{\mathrm{cl}} \mid \langle c,\lambda\rangle = 0\}$ and set

$$\varpi_i := \Lambda_i - c_i\Lambda_0 \quad \text{for } i \in I_0.$$

Then there exists a unique finite dimensional integrable $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module $V(\varpi_i)$ satisfying the following properties:

(i) all the weights of $V(\varpi_i)$ are contained in the convex hull of $W_0\,\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)$.

(ii) $\dim V(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)} = 1$,

(iii) for each $\mu \in W_0\,\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)$, there exists an extremal weight vector of weight μ,

(iv) $V(\varpi_i)$ is generated by $V(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)}$ as a $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module.

The $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module $V(\varpi_i)$ is called the *fundamental representation of weight* ϖ_i $(i \in I_0)$.

Let M be a $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module. An involution on M is called a *bar involution* if $\overline{a\,v} = \overline{a}\;\overline{v}$ for all $a \in U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$, $v \in M$, where $\overline{e_i} = e_i$, $\overline{f_i} = f_i$, $\overline{K_i} = K_i^{-1}$ $(i \in I)$. A finite $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-crystal B is *simple* if (i) $\mathrm{wt}(B) \subset P_{\mathrm{cl}}^0$, (ii) there exists $\lambda \in \mathrm{wt}(B)$ such that $\#(B_\lambda) = 1$, (iii) the weight of every extremal vector of B is contained in $W_0\,\lambda$.

A finite dimensional integrable $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module M is *good* if

(i) M has a bar involution,

(ii) M has a crystal basis with simple crystal,

(iii) M has a lower global basis.

For example, all the fundamental representations $V(\varpi_i)$ $(i \in I_0)$ are good. Every good module is irreducible. For any good module M, there exists an extremal weight vector v of weight λ such that $\mathrm{wt}(U'_q(\mathfrak{g})v) \subset \lambda - \sum_{i\in I_0} \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathrm{cl}(\alpha_i)$. Such λ is called a *dominant extremal weight* and v is called a *dominant extremal weight vector*.

Take $\mathbf{k} = \overline{\mathbf{C}(q)} \subset \bigcup_{M>0} \mathbf{C}((q^{1/m}))$. Let $M_{\mathrm{aff}} = \mathbf{k}[z, z^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} M$ be the *affinization* of M. For $v \in M$ and $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, the action of $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ on M_{aff} is given by

$$e_i(z^k \otimes v) = \begin{cases} z^{k+1} \otimes e_0 v & \text{if } i = 0, \\ z^k \otimes e_i v & \text{if } i \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

$$f_i(z^k \otimes v) = \begin{cases} z^{k-1} \otimes f_0 v & \text{if } i = 0, \\ z^k \otimes f_i v & \text{if } i \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

$$K_i^{\pm 1}(z^k \otimes v) = q_i^{\pm\langle h_i, \mathrm{wt}(v)\rangle}(z^k \otimes v) \quad (i \in I).$$

We define a $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module automorphism $z_M : M_{\mathrm{aff}} \to M_{\mathrm{aff}}$ of weight δ by

$$z^k \otimes v \mapsto z^{k+1} \otimes v \quad (v \in M,\ k \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

Let M_1, M_2 be good $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules and let u_1, u_2 be dominant extremal weight vectors of M_1 and M_2, respectively. Set $z_1 = z_{M_1}$ and $z_2 = z_{M_2}$. Then there exists a unique $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module homomorphism

$$R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}(z_1, z_2) : (M_1)_{\mathrm{aff}} \otimes (M_2)_{\mathrm{aff}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{k}(z_1, z_2) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]} (M_2)_{\mathrm{aff}} \otimes (M_1)_{\mathrm{aff}}$$

satisfying

$$R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}(u_1 \otimes u_2) = u_2 \otimes u_1,$$
$$R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2} \circ z_1 = z_1 \circ R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2},$$
$$R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2} \circ z_2 = z_2 \circ R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}.$$

The homomorphism $R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}$ is called the *normalized R-matrix of* M_1 *and* M_2.

Note that $\mathrm{Im}\, R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2} \subset \mathbf{k}(z_2/z_1) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}[(z_2/z_1)^{\pm 1}]} (M_2)_{\mathrm{aff}} \otimes (M_1)_{\mathrm{aff}}$. We denote by $d_{M_1,M_2}(u) \in \mathbf{k}[u]$ the monic polynomial of the smallest degree such that

$$\mathrm{Im}\, \left(d_{M_1,M_2}(z_2/z_1)\, R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}\right) \subset (M_2)_{\mathrm{aff}} \otimes (M_1)_{\mathrm{aff}}.$$

The polynomial $d_{M_1,M_2}(u)$ is called the *denominator* of $R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}$.

The normalized R-matrix satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation. That is, for $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules M_1, M_2, M_3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_2,M_3} \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_3}) \circ (R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2} \otimes 1) \\ = (1 \otimes R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}) \circ (R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_3} \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_2,M_3}).\end{aligned}$$

5. Quantum affine Schur-Weyl duality functor

Let $\{V_s \mid s \in \mathcal{S}\}$ be a family of good modules and let v_s be a dominant extremal weight vector in V_s with weight λ_s ($s \in \mathcal{S}$). Take an index set J endowed with the maps $X : J \to \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $s : J \to \mathcal{S}$. For each $i, j \in J$, let

$$R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V_{s(i)},V_{s(j)}}(z_i, z_j) : (V_{s(i)})_{\mathrm{aff}} \otimes (V_{s(j)})_{\mathrm{aff}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{k}(z_i, z_j) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}[z_i^{\pm 1}, z_j^{\pm 1}]} (V_{s(j)})_{\mathrm{aff}} \otimes (V_{s(i)})_{\mathrm{aff}}$$

be the normalized R-matrix sending $v_{s(i)} \otimes v_{s(j)}$ to $v_{s(j)} \otimes v_{s(i)}$.

Let $d_{V_{s(i)},V_{s(j)}}(z_j/z_i)$ be the denominator of $R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V_{s(i)},V_{s(j)}}(z_i, z_j)$. We define a quiver Γ^J as follows.

(i) We take J to be the set of vertices.

(ii) We put d_{ij} many arrows from i to j, where d_{ij} the order of zero of $d_{V_{s(i)},V_{s(j)}}(z_j/z_i)$ at $z_j/z_i = X(j)/X(i)$.

Define the Cartan matrix $A^J = (a^J_{ij})_{i,j\in J}$ by

$$a^J_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = j, \\ -d_{ij} - d_{ji} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Thus we obtain a symmetric Cartan datum $(A^J, P, P^\vee, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ associated with Γ^J.

Set

$$\mathsf{Q}^J_{ij}(u, v) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j, \\ (u - v)^{d_{ij}}(v - u)^{d_{ji}} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

We will denote by $R^J(\beta)$ ($\beta \in Q_+$) the Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra associated with (A^J, Q^J).

For each $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \in J^\beta$, let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbf{T}^n,X(\nu)} = \mathbf{k}[[X_1 - X(\nu_1), \ldots, X_n - X(\nu_n)]]$ be the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{T}^n,X(\nu)}$ at $X(\nu) := (X(\nu_1), \ldots, X(\nu_n))$ and set

$$V_\nu := (V_{s(\nu_1)})_{\mathrm{aff}} \otimes \cdots \otimes (V_{s(\nu_n)})_{\mathrm{aff}},$$

where $X_k = z_{V_{s(\nu_k)}}$ ($k = 1, \ldots, n$).

We define

$$\widehat{V}_\nu := \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbf{T}^n,X(\nu)} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}[X_1^{\pm 1},\ldots,X_n^{\pm 1}]} V_\nu \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta} := \bigoplus_{\nu\in J^\beta} \widehat{V}_\nu\, e(\nu).$$

The following proposition is one of the main results of [18].

Proposition 5.1. [18] The space $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}$ is a $(U'_q(\mathfrak{g}), R^J(\beta))$-bimodule.

Hence we obtain a functor

$$\mathcal{F}_\beta : \mathrm{mod}\,(R^J(\beta)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{mod}\, U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$$

defined by

$$M \longmapsto \widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta} \otimes_{R^J(\beta)} M \qquad \text{for} \quad M \in \operatorname{mod}(R^J(\beta)).$$

Write $\operatorname{mod}(R^J) := \bigoplus_{\beta\in Q_+} \operatorname{mod}(R^J(\beta))$ and set

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{\beta\in Q_+} \mathcal{F}_\beta : \operatorname{mod}(R^J) \longrightarrow \operatorname{mod} U_q'(\mathfrak{g}).$$

The functor $\mathcal{F}$ is called the *quantum affine Schur-Weyl duality functor*. The basic properties of $\mathcal{F}$ are summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. [18]

(a) The functor $\mathcal{F}$ restricts to

$$\mathcal{F} : \operatorname{rep}(R^J) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{int}},$$

where $\operatorname{rep}(R^J) := \bigoplus_{\beta\in Q_+} \operatorname{rep}(R^J(\beta))$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\text{int}}$ denotes the category of finite dimensional integrable $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-modules.

(b) For each $i \in J$, let $S(\alpha_i) := \mathbf{k}\, u(i)$ be the 1-dimensional graded simple $R^J(1)$-module defined by

$$e(j)\ u(i) = \delta_{ij}\, u(i), \quad x_1\, u(i) = 0.$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{F}(S(\alpha_i)) \cong (V_{s(i)})_{X(i)},$$

where $(V_{s(i)})_{X(i)}$ is the evaluation module of $V_{s(i)}$ at $z_i = X(i)$.

(c) $\mathcal{F}$ is a tensor functor; i.e., there exists a canonical $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-module isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{F}(R^J(0)) \cong \mathbf{k}, \quad \mathcal{F}(M \circ N) \cong \mathcal{F}(M) \otimes \mathcal{F}(N)$$

for $M \in \operatorname{rep}(R^J(m))$, $N \in \operatorname{rep}(R^J(n))$.

(d) If the quiver Γ^J is of type A_n $(n \geq 1)$, D_n $(n \geq 4)$, E_6, E_7, E_8, then $\mathcal{F}$ is exact.

6. The Categories $\mathcal{T}_N$ and $\mathcal{C}_N$

Take $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{C}(q)$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ be the affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ and let $V = V(\varpi_1)$ be the fundamental representation of $U_q'(A_{N-1}^{(1)})$ of weight ϖ_1.

Set $\mathcal{S} = \{V\}$, $J = \mathbf{Z}$ and let $X : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{k}^\times$ be the map given by $j \mapsto q^{2j}$ $(j \in \mathbf{Z})$. Then the normalized R-matrix $R_{V,V}^{\text{norm}} : V_{z_1} \otimes V_{z_2} \longrightarrow V_{z_2} \otimes V_{z_1}$ has the denominator $d_{V,V}(z_2/z_1) = z_2/z_1 - q^2$. Hence we have

$$d_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = i+1, \\ 0 & \text{otehrwise,} \end{cases}$$

which yields the quiver Γ^J of type A_∞. Take $P_J = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}\,\varepsilon_k$ to be the weight lattice and let $Q^J = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}\,(\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1})$ be the root lattice. There is a bilinear form on P_J given by $(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_b) = \delta_{ab}$.

For $a \le b$, let $l = b - a + 1$ and let $L(a,b) := \mathbf{k}\,u(a,b)$ be the 1-dimensional graded simple $R^J(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_{b+1})$-module defined by

$$x_s\,u(a,b) = 0, \quad \tau_t\,u(a,b) = 0 \ \ (1 \le s \le l,\ 1 \le t \le l-1),$$
$$e(\nu)\,u(a,b) = \begin{cases} u(a,b) & \text{if } \nu = (a, a+1, \dots, b), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{F}(L(a,b)) \cong \begin{cases} V(\varpi_l)_{(-q)^{a+b}} & \text{if } 0 \le l \le N, \\ 0 & \text{if } l > N, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{F} : \operatorname{mod}(R^J(l)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{mod} U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$ is the quantum affine Schur-Weyl duality functor.

Recall that $\operatorname{Rep}(R^J(l))$ is the category of finite dimensional graded $R^J(l)$-modules. Set $\mathcal{R} := \bigoplus_{l\ge 0} \operatorname{Rep}(R^J(l))$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be the Smallest Serre subcategory of $\mathcal{R}$ such that

(i) $\mathcal{S}$ contains $L(a, a+N)$ for all $a \in \mathbf{Z}$,
(ii) $X \circ Y, Y \circ X \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $X \in \mathcal{R}$, $Y \in \mathcal{S}$.

Take the quotient category $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S}$ and let $\mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S}$ be the canonical projection functor. Then we have:

Proposition 6.1. [18]
(a) The functor $\mathcal{F}$ factors through $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S}$. That is, there is a canonical functor $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \operatorname{mod} U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$ such that the following diagram is commutative.



(b) The functor $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{S}$ sends a simple object in $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S}$ to a simple object in $\operatorname{mod} U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let $L_a := L(a, a+N-1)$ and $u_a := u(a, a+N-1) \in L_a$ $(a \in \mathbf{Z})$. Then $\mathcal{F}(L_a)$ is isomorphic to the trivial representation of $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $S : P_J \to P_J$ $(\varepsilon_a \mapsto \varepsilon_{a+N-1})$

be an automorphism on P_J and let B be the bilinear form on P_J given by

$$B(x,y) := -\sum_{k>0}(S^k x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in P_J.$$

We define a new tensor product $\star$ on $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S}$ by

$$X \star Y := q^{B(\alpha,\beta)}\, X \circ Y \quad \text{for} \quad X \in (\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S})_\alpha,\ Y \in (\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S})_\beta.$$

Then there exists an isomorphism $R(a)(X) : L_a \star X \xrightarrow{\sim} X \star L_a$ which is functorial in $X \in \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S}$. Moreover, the isomorphisms

$$R_a(L_b) : L_a \star L_b \xrightarrow{\sim} L_b \star L_a \quad \text{and} \quad R_b(L_a) : L_b \star L_a \xrightarrow{\sim} L_a \star L_b$$

are inverses to each other. One can verify that $\{L_a, R_a(L_b) \mid a, b \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ forms a commuting family of central objects in $(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S}, \star)$ (see [18, Appendix A.6]).

Let $\mathcal{T}'_N := (\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S})[L_a^{\star -1} \mid a \in \mathbf{Z}]$ be the localization of $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S}$ by this commuting family and define

$$\mathcal{T}_N := (\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S})[L_a \cong \mathbf{1} \mid a \in \mathbf{Z}].$$

We denote by $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{T}_N$ the canonical functor.

Theorem 6.2. [18]

(a) The category $\mathcal{T}_N$ is a rigid tensor category ; i.e., every object in $\mathcal{T}_N$ has a right dual and a left dual.

(b) The functor $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{S}$ factors through $\mathcal{T}_N$. That is, there exists a canonical functor $\mathcal{F}_N : \mathcal{T}_N \longrightarrow \operatorname{mod} U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ such that the following diagram is commutative.



(c) The functor $\mathcal{F}_N$ is exact and sends a simple object in $\mathcal{T}_N$ to a simple object in $\operatorname{mod} U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_N$ be the smallest full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{int}}$ consisting of $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules M such that every composition factor of M appears as a composition factor of a tensor product of modules of the form $V(\varpi_1)_{q^{2j}}$ $(j \in \mathbf{Z})$. Thus $\mathcal{C}_N$ is an abelian category containing all $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules $V(\varpi_i)_{(-q)^{i+2a-1}}$ for $1 \le i \le N-1$ and $a \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Moreover, $\mathcal{C}_N$ is stable under taking submodules, quotients, extensions and tensor products. Hence $\mathcal{F}_N$ restricts to an exact functor

$$\mathcal{F}_N : \mathcal{T}_N \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_N.$$

Let $Irr\,(\mathcal{T}_N)$ (respectively, $Irr\,(\mathcal{C}_N)$) denote the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects in $\mathcal{T}_N$ (respectively, in $\mathcal{C}_N$). Define an equivalence relation on $Irr\,(\mathcal{T}_N)$ by setting $X \sim Y$ if and only if $X \xrightarrow{\sim} q^m Y$ for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Set

$$Irr\,(\mathcal{T}_N)|_{q=1} := Irr\,(\mathcal{T}_N)/\sim .$$

Theorem 6.3. [18]
(a) The functor $\mathcal{F}_N$ induces a bijection between $Irr\,(\mathcal{T}_N)|_{q=1}$ and $Irr\,(\mathcal{C}_N)$.
(b) The exact functor $\mathcal{F}_N$ induces a ring isomorphism

$$\phi_N : K(\mathcal{T}_N)|_{q=1} \xrightarrow{\sim} K(\mathcal{C}_N).$$

Therefore, the category $\mathcal{T}_N$ provides a *graded lifting* of $\mathcal{C}_N$ as a rigid tensor category.

7. The category $\mathcal{C}_Q$

In this section, we deal with affine Kac-Moody algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ of type $A_n^{(1)}$ $(n \geq 1)$, $D_n^{(1)}$ $(n \geq 4)$, $E_6^{(1)}$, $E_7^{(1)}$, $E_8^{(1)}$. Let $I = \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ be the index set for the simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ and set $I_0 = I \setminus \{0\}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}_0$ the finite dimensional simple Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by e_i, f_i, h_i $(i \in I_0)$. Thus $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of type A_n $(n \geq 1)$, D_n $(n \geq 4)$, E_6, E_7, E_8, respectively.

Let Q be the Dynkin quiver associated with $\mathfrak{g}_0$. A function $\xi : I_0 \to \mathbf{Z}$ is called a *height function* if $\xi_j = \xi_i - 1$ whenever we have an arrow $i \to j$.

Set

$$\widehat{I_0} := \{(i, p) \in I_0 \times \mathbf{Z} \mid p - \xi_i \in 2\mathbf{Z}\}.$$

The *repetition quiver* $\widehat{Q}$ is defined as follows.

(i) We take $\widehat{I_0}$ to be the set of vertices.

(ii) The arrows are given by

$$(i, p) \to (j, p + 1), \quad (j, q) \to (i, q + 1)$$

for all arrows $i \to j$ and $p, q \in Z$ such that $p - \xi_i \in \mathbf{Z}$, $q - \xi_j \in \mathbf{Z}$.

For all $i \in I_0$, let $s_i(Q)$ be the quiver obtained from Q by reversing the arrows that touch i. A reduced expression $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l} \in W_0$ is said to be *adapted to* Q if i_k is the source of $s_{i_{k-1}} \cdots s_{i_1}(Q)$ for all $1 \leq k \leq l$. It is known that there is a unique Coxeter element $\tau \in W_0$ which is adaped to Q.

Set $\widehat{\Delta} := \Delta_+ \times \mathbf{Z}$, where Δ_+ is the set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. For each $i \in I_0$, let $B(i) := \{j \in I_0 \mid \text{there is a path from } j \text{ to } i\}$ and define $\gamma_i := \sum_{j \in B(i)} \alpha_j$. We define a bijection $\phi : \widehat{I}_0 \to \widehat{\Delta}$ inductively as follows.

(1) We begin with $\phi(i, \xi_i) := (\gamma_i, 0)$.

(2) If $\phi(i,p) = (\beta, j)$ is given, then we define

- $\phi(i, p-2) := (\tau(\beta), j)$ if $\tau(\beta) \in \Delta_+$,
- $\phi(i, p-2) := (-\tau(\beta), j-1)$ if $\tau(\beta) \in \Delta_-$,
- $\phi(i, p+2) := (\tau^{-1}(\beta), j)$ if $\tau^{-1}(\beta) \in \Delta_+$,
- $\phi(i, p+2) := (-\tau^{-1}(\beta), j+1)$ if $\tau^{-1}(\beta) \in \Delta_-$.

Let w_0 be the longest element of W_0 and fix a reduced expression $w_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l}$ which is adapted to Q. Set

$$J := \{(i,p) \in \widehat{I}_0 \mid \phi(i,p) \in \Pi_0 \times \{0\}\},$$

where Π_0 denotes the set of simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Take the maps $X : J \to \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $s : J \to \{V(\varpi_i) \mid i \in I_0\}$ defined by

$$X(i,p) = (-q)^{p+h}, \quad s(i,p) = V(\varpi_i) \quad \text{for } (i,p) \in J,$$

where h is the Coxeter number of $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

Theorem 7.1. [19]

For any $(i,p), (j,r) \in J$, assume that the normalized R-matrix $R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V(\varpi_i), V(\varpi_j)}(z)$ has a pole at $z = (-q)^{r-p}$ of order at most 1. Then the following statements hold.

(a) The Cartan matrix A^J associated with (J, X, s) is of type $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

(b) There exists a quiver isomorphism

$$Q^{\mathrm{rev}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma^J, \quad k \mapsto \phi^{-1}(\alpha_k, 0) \quad (k \in I_0),$$

where Q^{rev} is the reverse quiver of Q.

(c) The functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{rep}\,(R^J) \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{int}}$ is exact and

$$\mathcal{F}(S(\alpha_k)) \cong V(\varpi_i)_{(-q)^{p+h}},$$

where $\phi(i,p) = (\alpha_k, 0)$.

Remark 7.2. When $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $A^{(1)}_n$ $(n \geq 1)$ or $D^{(1)}_n$ $(n \geq 4)$, then the condition in Theorem 7.1 is satisfied. We conjecture that the same is true of $\mathfrak{g} = E^{(1)}_6$, $E^{(1)}_7$, $E^{(1)}_8$.

We now bring out the main subject of our interest in this section, the category $\mathcal{C}_Q$ (cf. [13]). Let $\mathcal{C}_Q$ be the smallest abelian full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{int}}$ such that

(i) $\mathcal{C}_Q$ is stable under taking submodules, subquotients, direct sums and tensor products,

(ii) $\mathcal{C}_Q$ contains all $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules of the form $V(\beta)_z \big/ (z-1)^l V(\beta)_z$ $(\beta \in \Delta_+, l \geq 1)$. Here, $V(\beta) = V(\varpi_i)_{(-q)^{p+h}}$ such that $\phi(i,p) = (\beta, 0)$.

Let Nilrep $(R^J(\beta))$ be the category of finite dimensional ungraded $R^J(\beta)$-modules such that all x_k's act nilpotently and set

$$\text{Nilrep}\,(R^J) := \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q_+} \text{Nilrep}\,(R^J(\beta)).$$

Note that every module in Nilrep (R^J) can be obtained by taking submodules, subquotients, direct sums and convolution products of $P(\alpha_k)\big/(x_1^l)$ $(k \in I_0, l \geq 0)$, where $P(\alpha_k)$ is the projective cover of $S(\alpha_k)$. Thus we obtain a well-defined functor

$$\mathcal{F} : \text{Nilrep}\,(R^J) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_Q,$$

which satisfies the following properties.

Theorem 7.3. [18, 19]
(a) $\mathcal{F}$ is an exact tensor functor.
(b) $\mathcal{F}$ sends a simple object in Nilrep (R^J) to a simple object in $\mathcal{C}_Q$.

It is straightforward to verify that $\mathcal{F}$ is a faithful functor. Since $\mathcal{C}_Q$ is the smallest abelian full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{int}}$ satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) given above, we conjecture that $\mathcal{F}$ is full and defines an equivalence of categories.

Remark 7.4. Note that our general approach to quantum affine Schur-Weyl duality applies to *all* quantum affine algebras and *any* choice of good modules. Thus we expect there are a lot more exciting developments to come. It is an interesting question whether our general construction can shed a new light on the hidden connection between quantum affine algebras and cluster algebras (cf. [12]).

References

[1] T. Akasaka, M. Kashiwara, *Finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, Publ. RIMS. Kyoto Univ. **33** (1997), 839-867.
[2] S. Ariki, *On the decomposition numbers of the Hecke algebra of* $G(M, 1, n)$, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **36** (1996), 789-808.
[3] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *A guide to Quantum Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
[4] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras and affine Hecke algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **174** (1996), 295-326.
[5] I. V. Cherednik, *A new interpretation of Gelfand-Tzetlin bases*, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), 563-577.
[6] J. Chuang, R. Rouquier, *Derived equivalences for symmetric groups and* $\mathfrak{sl}_2$*-categorification*, Ann. Math. **167** (2008), 245–298.
[7] L. Crane, I. B. Frenkel, *Four-dimensional topological quantum field theory, Hopf categories, and the canonical bases*, J. Math. Phys. **35** (1994), 5136-5154.
[8] A. Ellis, M. Khovanov, A. Lauda, *The odd nilHecke algebra and its diagrammatics*, Int. Math. Res. Notices **2014-4** (2014), 991-1062.
[9] A. Ellis, A. Lauda, *An odd categorification of quantum* $sl(2)$, arXiv:1307.7816.

[10] V. Ginzburg , N. Reshetikhin. E. Vasserot, *Quantum groups and flag varieties*, Contemp. Math. **175** (1994), 101-130.
[11] J. Hong, S.-J. Kang, *Introduction to Quantum Groups and Crystal Bases*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **42**, American Mathematical Society, Provodence, 2002.
[12] D. Hernandez, B. Leclerc, *Cluster algebras and quantum affine algebras*, Duke Math. J. **154** (2010), 265-341.
[13] D. Hernandez, B. Leclerc, *Quantum Grothendieck rings and derived Hall algebras*, to appear in J. reine angew. Math., DOI: 10.1515/crelle-2013-0020.
[14] D. Hill, W. Wang, *Categorification of quantum Kac-Moody superalgebras*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., arXiv:1202.2769.
[15] M. Jimbo, *A q-analogue of $U(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$, Hecke algebra, and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math.Phys. **11** (1986), 247-252.
[16] V. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie algebras*, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
[17] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, *Categorification of highest weight modules via Khovanov- Lauda-Rouquier algebras*, Invent. Math. **190** (2012), 699–742.
[18] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, M. Kim, *Symmetric quiver Hecke algebras and R-matrices of quantum affine algebras*, arXiv:1304.0323.
[19] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, M. Kim, *Symmetric quiver Hecke algebras and R-matrices of quantum affine algebras II*, arXiv:1308.0651.
[20] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, S.-j. Oh, *Categorification of highest weight modules over quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, Moscow Math. J. **13** (2103), 315–343.
[21] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, S.-j. Oh, *Supercategorification of quantum Kac-Moody algebras*, Adv. Math. **242** (2013), 116–162.
[22] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, S.-j. Oh, *Supercategorification of quantum Kac-Moody algebras II*, arXiv:1303.1916.
[23] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwra, E. Park, *Geomtric realization of Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras associated with Borcherds-Cartan data*, Proc. London Math. Soc **(3) 107** (2013), 907-931.
[24] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, S. Tsuchioka, *Quiver Hecke superalgebras*, to appear in J. reine angew. Math.
[25] S.-J. Kang, S.-j. Oh, E. Park, *Categorification of quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras and crystal bases*, Int. J. Math. **23** (2012), 1250116.
[26] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465–516.
[27] M. Kashiwara, *On level zero representations of quantized affine algebras*, Duke. Math. J. **112** (2002), 117–175.
[28] M. Khovanov, A. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups I*, Represent. Theory **13** (2009), 309–347.
[29] M. Khovanov, A. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 2685–2700.
[30] A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, J-Y. Thibon, *Hecke algebras at roots of unity and crystal bases of quantum affine algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **181** (1996), 205–263.
[31] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 447–498.
[32] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
[33] R. Rouquier, *2-Kac-Moody algebras*, arXiv:0812.5023.

[34] R. Rouquier, *Quiver Hecke algebras and 2-Lie algebras*, arXiv:1112.3619.
[35] I. Schur, *Über Eine Klasse Von Matrizen, die Sich Einer Gegeben Matrix Zurodenen Lassen*, Ph.D. thesis (1901). Reprinted in Gesamelte Abhandlungen **1**, 1–70.
[36] I. Schur, *Über die rationalen Darstellungen der allgemeinen linearen Gruppe*, Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Adademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin (1927), 58–75. Reprinted in Gessamelte Abhandlungen **3**, 68–85.
[37] M. Varagnolo, E. Vasserot, *Canonical bases and KLR algebras*, J. reine angew. Math. **659** (2011), 67–100.
[38] W. Wang, *Spin Hecke algebras of finite and affine types*, Adv. Math. **212** (2007), 723–748.
[39] Ben Webster, *Knot invariants and higher representation theory*, arXiv:1309.3796.

Department of Mathematical Sciences and Research Institute of Mathematics, Seoul National University, 599 Gwanak-Ro, Seoul 151-747, Korea
E-mail address: sjkang@snu.ac.kr